## Remarks

Claims 1-26 are pending. Claims 1-26 are rejected. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and request allowance of claims 1-26.

Claims 1-7, 12-20 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Carey et al. (2002/0068612).

Claim 1 requires that a second receiving antenna have a coverage area that is within the coverage area of a first receiving antenna (see figure 10). "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. V. Union Oil Co. of California, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed Cir. 1987). Carey has transceivers that have overlapping coverage area (see figure 9 and 10) but Carey does not have a receiving antenna that has a coverage area that is within the coverage area of another receiving antenna as required by claim 1. Therefore claim 1 is allowable as written.

Claims 2 - 13 are dependant on allowable claim 1 and are therefore allowable.

Claim 14 also requires a second receiving antenna that has a coverage area that is within the coverage area of a first receiving antenna, therefore the arguments for claim 1 (above) apply and claim 14 is allowable as written.

Claims 15 - 26 are dependent on allowable claim 14 and are therefore allowable
Applicants submit that there are numerous additional reasons in support of
patentability, but that such reasons are most in light of the above remarks and are omitted
in the interests of brevity. Applicants respectfully request allowance of claims 1 - 26.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Steven L. Webb, Reg. No. 44,395 Duft Setter Ollila & Bornsen LLC Telephone: (303) 938-9999 ext. 22

Facsimile: (303) 938-9995

Correspondence address:

CUSTOMER NO. 28004

Attn: Harley R. Ball 6391 Sprint Parkway

Mailstop: KSOPHT0101-Z2100 Overland Park, KS 66251-2100